

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/004,544

TU

K

CT-269

01/08/98

LM02/0824

EXAMINER

LEE,R ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2713 DATE MAILED:

08/24/00

D ALESSANDRO & RITCHIE P 0 B0X 640640 SAN JOSE CA 95164-0640

KENNETH D ALESSANDRO

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/004,544 Applicance)

Tu et al

Examiner

Richard Lee

Group Art Unit 2713

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosection accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 21	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire mo is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the properties of the pro	eriod for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
	triction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved	☐disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents	s nave been
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (P	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
- Notice of Informal Fatont Application, 1 10 102	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/004,544

Art Unit: 2713

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to an apparatus and method for performing dequantization of an encoded video data stream, classified in class 375, subclass 240.03.
 - II. Claims 5-17, drawn to an apparatus and method for performing IDCT on dequantization video signal data, classified in class 375, subclass 240.20.
- III. Claims 18-23, drawn to an apparatus and method for performing dequantization and IDCT calculations in parallel in a video decoder, classified in class 375, subclass 240.25.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Groups I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are Groups I, II, and III. Group I involves an apparatus and method for dequantization of encoded video data stream. Group II involves an apparatus and method for performing IDCT on dequantization video signal data. Group III involves an apparatus and method for performing dequantization and IDCT calculations in parallel in a video decoder.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/004,544 Page 3

Art Unit: 2713

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

is proper.

5. In the event that Group II is chosen from above, Group II will be further subjected to the

following restriction requirement.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

Group II shows various patentable distinct species to the present invention for performing

IDCT on dequantization video signal data.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species with the appropriate Figure(s) that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing

of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an

election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/004,544

Art Unit: 2713

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2713

Or:

(703) 308-5359 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Page 5

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6612.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Richard Lee/rl

8/23/00